

| Metric | SFDR PAIs | ICG Score | Ø Value or Quantity (Arithmetic Average) | Nr Companies reported | ICG Score | Ø Value or Quantity (Arithmetic Average) | Nr Companies reported |
|--------|-----------|-----------|--|-----------------------|-----------|--|-----------------------|
|--------|-----------|-----------|--|-----------------------|-----------|--|-----------------------|

ENVIRONMENTAL the higher, the better (0 to 100) **55.5** **35.7**

Climate Exposure **51.0** **22** **36.1** **97**

| Transition Risk | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-------|--------------|----|-------|-------------|-----|
| Carbon Pricing | Policy | 26.4 | Majority No | 21 | 13.7 | Majority No | 95 |
| Climate Scenario Analysis | Policy | 48.4 | Majority No | 22 | 24.5 | Majority No | 97 |
| Risks of Climate Change Discussed | Policy | 62.8 | Majority Yes | 22 | 41.2 | Majority No | 97 |
| Climate Change Opportunities Discussed | Policy | 26.8 | Majority No | 22 | 15.5 | Majority No | 97 |
| Thermal Coal Exposure | % of Revenue | 100.0 | - | 25 | 100.0 | - | 161 |

GHG Emissions Management **64.9** **21** **50.8** **112**

| GHG Emissions | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|------|--------------|-------|------|-------------|-----|-------|----|
| Methane of Scop 1 Emissions | % | 16.0 | 0.3 | 4 | 10.2 | 3.6 | 17 | | |
| Scope 1 GHG or CO2 Emissions | kt / % of Production or CFO | 72.8 | 0.7 | 0.04% | 19 | 44.8 | 0.3 | 0.05% | 76 |
| M1 Scope 1 GHG/EVIC | Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m) | 87.7 | 123 | 25 | 88.4 | 105 | 159 | | |
| M1 Scope 2 GHG/EVIC | Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m) | | 82 | 25 | | 119 | 159 | | |
| M2 Scope 1 & 2 GHG/EVIC = Carbon Footpring/EVIC | Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m) | 79.5 | 205 | 25 | 78.0 | 224 | 159 | | |
| M1 Scope 3 GHG/EVIC | Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m) | | 172 | 25 | | 779 | 159 | | |
| M1 Scope 1 & 2 & 3 GHG/EVIC | Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m) | 96.2 | 378 | 25 | 92.7 | 1'003 | 159 | | |
| M3 GHG Intensity | Mt CO2-eq/Sales (€m) | 50.3 | 339 | 19 | 31.9 | 286 | 72 | | |
| GHG Emissions Policies | | | | | | | | | |
| GHG Emissions Reduction Policy | Policy | 77.2 | Majority Yes | 22 | 48.5 | Majority No | 97 | | |
| Emissions Reduction Initiatives | Policy | 84.4 | Majority Yes | 22 | 51.9 | Majority No | 97 | | |
| GHG Target | | | | | | | | | |
| OE4 Net Zero Emissions Target | Policy | 48.4 | Majority No | 22 | 28.4 | Majority No | 97 | | |
| Science Based Target | Policy | 12.0 | Majority No | 21 | 9.8 | Majority No | 95 | | |

Water Management **62.5** **15** **36.0** **47**

| Wastewater | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------|--------------|------|------|-------------|----|------|---|
| M8 Emissions to Water | tk MT / % of Production or CFO | 3.9 | 3 | 0.0% | 1 | 2.4 | 2 | 0.0% | 4 |
| Water Use | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Consumption Derived | Bloomberg Score | 34.1 | 4.7 | 18 | 14.7 | 4.9 | 48 | | |
| Freshwater Withdrawals | Bloomberg Score | 37.0 | 4.9 | 19 | 21.5 | 5.8 | 60 | | |
| OE8 Water Stress Exposure % | % | 34.0 | 34.7 | 13 | 10.9 | 29.5 | 25 | | |
| Water Use Policy | | | | | | | | | |
| OE7 Water Policy | Policy | 88 | Majority Yes | 22 | 54.7 | Majority No | 97 | | |

Energy Management **42.1** **15** **22.4** **53**

| Energy Consumption | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|------|---------|-------|------|--------|---------|-------|----|
| Grid Electricity Used | % | 9.8 | 86.4 | 18 | 5.0 | 87.2 | 63 | | |
| Grid Electricity Used | MWh / % of EV | 54.7 | 3'757 | 4.70% | 18 | 28.6 | 1'647 | 1.58% | 61 |
| Self generated Energy and Self Sufficiency | MWh / % of Energy Use | 15.7 | 847'618 | 19.7% | 7 | 6.9 | 296'763 | 9.4% | 20 |
| M5 Non Renewable Energy Consumption | % | | 85.8 | 13 | | 81.8 | 49 | | |
| Renewable Energy Consumption | % of Production or CFO | 19.6 | 7.4 | 13 | 11.0 | 5.5 | 49 | | |
| M6 Energy Consumption Intensity | MWh/Sales | 74.6 | 1'121 | 21 | 40.1 | 10'418 | 74 | | |

Ecological Impact **61.4** **17** **35.3** **69**

| Ecosystem Protection | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------|--------------|--------|------|-------------|------|--------|----|
| M4 Exposure to Fossil Fuel Sectors | % of Revenue or EBITDA | 100.0 | - | 25 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 161 | | |
| M7 Sites in Environmentally Sensitive Areas | Quantity / % of Production or CFO | 4.1 | 4.3 | 0.090% | 3 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 0.266% | 11 |
| Biodiversity Policy | Policy | 88.4 | Majority Yes | 23 | 51.4 | Majority No | 98 | | |
| Environmental Quality Mgmt Policy | Policy | 77.6 | Majority Yes | 23 | 46.9 | Majority No | 98 | | |
| Closure and Remediation Policy | Policy | 70 | Majority Yes | 22 | 35.1 | Majority No | 97 | | |
| Land Restored | in % of Disturbed - 3yr avg | 22.3 | 50% | 15 | 8.9 | 61% | 34 | | |
| Land Restored | ha / % of Production or CFO - 3yr avg | 0.2 | 184 | 0.0% | 15 | 1.9 | 257 | 2.4% | 39 |
| Environmental Fines | | | | | | | | | |
| Amount of Environmental Fines | Quantity / % of CFO | 56.9 | 0.27 | 0.02% | 16 | 25.2 | 0.11 | 0.03% | 45 |
| Environmental Incidents | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of Environmental Incidents | Quantity / % of Production or CFO | 38.8 | 3.4 | 0.585% | 13 | 14.5 | 18.3 | 3.791% | 37 |

Air Quality **42.7** **11** **20.6** **40**

| Air Emissions | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------|-------------|-------|------|-------------|------|-------|----|
| PM10 Emissions | Quantity / % of Production or CFO | 18.2 | 7.09 | 0.9% | 5 | 7.6 | 3.55 | 0.6% | 13 |
| Sulphur Dioxide Sulphur Oxide Emissions | SOx Tonnes / % of Production or CFO | 42.7 | 1.0 | 0.1% | 12 | 18.6 | 3.2 | 0.3% | 36 |
| OE1 VOC Emissions | kt / % of Production or CFO | 23.6 | 0.1 | 0.02% | 6 | 10.4 | 1.2 | 0.25% | 19 |
| Nitrogen Oxide Emissions | kt / % of Production or CFO | 42.7 | 5.2 | 0.0% | 11 | 18.3 | 3.2 | 0.2% | 34 |
| Air Emissions Policies | | | | | | | | | |
| Air Pollution Reduction Policy | Policy | 51.6 | Majority No | 21 | 28.3 | Majority No | 96 | | |

Waste Management **43.8** **16** **23.5** **59**

| Hazardous Waste Generation | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|--------------|-------|------|-------------|--------|-------|----|
| Hazardous Waste Mgmt Policy | Policy | 59.6 | Majority Yes | 23 | 33.5 | Majority No | 98 | | |
| M9 Hazardous Waste | tk MT / % of Production or CFO | 57.6 | 65 | 0.04% | 15 | 29.2 | 165 | 0.72% | 55 |
| Hazardous Waste | kt / % | 60.7 | 5.1 | 16 | 30.5 | 7.4 | 53 | | |
| Hazardous Waste Recycled | % | 14.9 | 41.3 | 9 | 5.2 | 28.7 | 29 | | |
| Waste Generation | | | | | | | | | |
| Mineral Waste Acid Rock Policy | Policy | 33.6 | Majority No | 21 | 20.4 | Majority No | 95 | | |
| Waste Total | kt / % of Production or CFO | 34.1 | 104'173 | 8.2% | 20 | 22.9 | 85'327 | 7.3% | 65 |
| Tailings Waste | kt / % of Production or CFO | 60.9 | 26'886 | 2.4% | 20 | 27.1 | 24'837 | 2.1% | 55 |

Environment Rating - Bloomberg The higher, the better **42.1** **21** **22.3** **92**

Environment Rating - Refinitiv The higher, the better **51.1** **22** **30.2** **113**

SOCIAL the higher, the better (0 to 100) **29.8** **17.5**

Occupational Health & Safety Management **43.6** **14** **24.1** **50**

| Fatalities | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------------|------|------|----|------|-------|----|
| Fatality Rate | Fatalities * 1'000 / Workforce | 50.2 | 3.5% | 17 | 27.7 | 7.7% | 58 |
| Fatality Rate | Fatalities * 1'000 / Employees | 72.6 | 1.2% | 20 | 41.8 | 88.1% | 79 |

| Metric | SFDR PAIs | | ICG Score | Ø Value or Quantity (Arithmetic Average) | Nr Companies reported | ICG Score | Ø Value or Quantity (Arithmetic Average) | Nr Companies reported | |
|--|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|--|-----------------------|-----------|--|-----------------------|-------|
| Fatality Rate | | Fatalities * 1000 / Contractors | 49.8 | 4.0% | 16 | 28.9 | 9.8% | 54 | |
| Health & Safety Policies | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Service Employee Program | | Policy | 0.0 | Majority No | - | 0.2 | Majority No | 3 | |
| Health & Safety Policy | | Policy | 92.0 | Majority Yes | 23 | 60.3 | Majority Yes | 98 | |
| Safety Incidents | | | | | | | | | |
| Lost Time Incident Rate | | LTIR / 200'000h worked | 42.5 | 0.90 | 15 | 20.2 | 0.73 | 54 | |
| Lost Time Incident Rate | | LTIR / 100 Employees | 36.9 | 0.16 | 11 | 22.4 | 0.30 | 48 | |
| Lost Time Incident Rate | | LTIR / 100 Contractors | 24.8 | 0.11 | 7 | 12.1 | 0.30 | 26 | |
| Recordable Incident Rate | | TRIR / 200'000h worked | 26.3 | 0.94 | 17 | 13.0 | 1.35 | 55 | |
| Recordable Incident Rate | | TRIR / 100 Employees | 26.2 | 1.07 | 16 | 12.1 | 1.07 | 48 | |
| Recordable Incident Rate | | TRIR / 100 Contractors | 29.0 | 0.52 | 11 | 8.2 | 1.42 | 29 | |
| Labor & Employment Practices | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 38.0 | | 10 | 22.6 | | 41 | |
| Training | | | | | | | | | |
| Training Spending per Employee | | Employee Training Cost / Employees | 6.9 | 690 | 5 | 6.0 | 878 | 23 | |
| Hours Spent by Firm Empl Training | | Thousand Hours per Employees | 8.3 | 8.3 | 15 | 134.6 | 134.6 | 59 | |
| Labor Actions | | | | | | | | | |
| Strikes and Lockouts | | Quantity | 52.8 | 0.3 | 14 | 28.6 | 0.3 | 48 | |
| Duration of Strikes and Lockouts | | Days | 48.0 | - | 12 | 27.8 | 0.1 | 45 | |
| Organized Labor | | | | | | | | | |
| Employees Unionized | | % | 26.2 | 40.9 | 16 | 17.8 | 45.5 | 63 | |
| Operational Risk Management | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 73.6 | | 7 | 41.8 | | 32 | |
| Operational Incidents | | | | | | | | | |
| Process Safety Event Rate | | LOPC / 200'000h 100 Employees | - | - | - | - | #DIV/0! | 0 | |
| Tier 2 Process Safety Event Rate | | LOPC / 200'000h 100 Employees | - | - | - | - | #DIV/0! | 0 | |
| Emergency Response & Preparedness Policy | | Policy | 73.6 | Majority Yes | 22 | 41.8 | Majority No | 97 | |
| Community Rights & Relations | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 49.6 | | 16 | 30.0 | | 68 | |
| Community & Human Rights | | | | | | | | | |
| Indigenous Rights Policy | | Policy | 56.0 | Majority Yes | 23 | 31.2 | Majority No | 98 | |
| Human Rights Policy | | Policy | 88.4 | Majority Yes | 23 | 51.9 | Majority No | 98 | |
| Community Relations | | | | | | | | | |
| Community Engagement Policy | | Policy | 70.4 | Majority Yes | 23 | 38.0 | Majority No | 98 | |
| Community Spending | | USD Million / % of CFO | 35.1 | 10.4 | 0.74% | 10 | 24.5 | 7.4 | 1.45% |
| Non Technical Delays | | Amount | 31.6 | 0.1 | 8 | 12.2 | 2.8 | 22 | |
| Non Technical Delays | | Duration in Days | 35.6 | 0.11 | 9 | 11.6 | 20.4 | 21 | |
| Critical Materials Policy | | Policy | 32.0 | Majority No | 17 | 9.4 | Majority No | 61 | |
| Ethics & Compliance | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 57.3 | | 15 | 35.9 | | 65 | |
| Business Ethics | | | | | | | | | |
| Anti-Bribery Ethics Policy | | Policy | 88.0 | Majority Yes | 22 | 59.7 | Majority Yes | 97 | |
| M14 Exposure to Controversial Weapons | | Y/N | 96.0 | Majority No | 24 | 64.0 | Majority No | 103 | |
| Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI) | | Supporter | 37.2 | Majority No | 21 | 14.8 | Majority No | 95 | |
| International Council Metals & Mining Membership | | Membership | 26.4 | Majority No | 21 | 13.7 | Majority No | 95 | |
| Competitive Behavior | | | | | | | | | |
| Fines for Anti Competition | | Amount | 20.0 | - | 5 | 8.1 | - | 13 | |
| Fines for Anti Competition | | USD Millions / % of CFO | 20.0 | - | 0.0% | 5 | 8.1 | - | 0.0% |
| Legal Settlement | | USD Millions / % of CFO | 7.5 | 20 | 0.6% | 3 | 3.0 | 7 | 15.4% |
| Legal & Regulatory Management | | | | | | | | | |
| Political Involvement Policy | | Policy | 19.2 | Majority No | 21 | 10.4 | Majority No | 95 | |
| UNGC | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 64.5 | | 21 | 41.1 | | 93 | |
| UNGC | | | | | | | | | |
| M10 UNGC Violations (Latest) | | Policy | 48.4 | Majority Yes or Unknown | 22 | 46.3 | Majority Yes or Unknown | 98 | |
| M11 UNGC Compliance Score | | Score | 64.0 | 76.2 | 21 | 38.3 | 64.8 | 95 | |
| M11 Principle 1: Support and Respect Human Rights | | Policy | 88.4 | Majority Yes | 21 | 51.9 | Majority No | 98 | |
| M11 Principle 2: Not Complicit in Human Rights Abuses | | Policy | 40.8 | Majority No | 21 | 27.1 | Majority No | 95 | |
| M11 Principle 3: Right to Collective Bargaining | | Policy | 49.2 | Majority Unknown | 15 | 30.1 | Majority Unknown | 61 | |
| M11 Principle 4: Elimination of Forced Labor | | Policy | 40.8 | Majority No | 21 | 20.4 | Majority No | 95 | |
| M11 Principle 5: Abolition of Child Labor Policy | | Policy | 66.0 | Majority Yes | 21 | 40.6 | Majority No | 95 | |
| M11 Principle 6: Elimination of Discrimination in Employ | | Policy | 84.0 | Majority Yes | 21 | 56.2 | Majority Yes | 95 | |
| M11 Principle 7: Recautionary Approach to Environment | | Policy | 76.8 | Majority Yes | 21 | 50.1 | Majority No | 95 | |
| M11 Principle 8: Promote Environmental Responsibility | | Policy | 88.0 | Majority Yes | 22 | 58.6 | Majority Yes | 97 | |
| M11 Principle 9: Environmentally Friendly Technology | | Policy | 58.8 | Majority Yes | 21 | 32.7 | Majority No | 95 | |
| M11 Principle 10: Anti-Corruption | | Policy | 88.0 | Majority Yes | 22 | 56.3 | Majority Yes | 97 | |
| Social Rating - Bloomberg | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 38.2 | | 21 | 20.4 | | 92 | |
| Social Rating - Refinitiv | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 53.3 | | 23 | 33.7 | | 116 | |
| GOVERNANCE | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 53.5 | | | 40.0 | | | |
| Board Composition | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 64.2 | | 21 | 45.3 | | 94 | |
| Director Roles | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-Exec Directors on 3+ Boards | | % | 75.6 | 10.1 | 21 | 52.8 | 12.3 | 97 | |
| Executive Directors on 2+ Boards | | % | 78.0 | 7.1 | 21 | 49.1 | 15.1 | 93 | |
| Highest Number of BOD any Director Serves Ex-CEI | | Amount | 64.0 | 2.4 | 21 | 44.7 | 2.7 | 98 | |
| Public Company BOD serve as BOD ex-CEO | | Average Number | 75.8 | 1.0 | 21 | 54.2 | 1.1 | 98 | |
| Number of Board Positions CEO holds | | Amount | 81.6 | 0.3 | 21 | 57.2 | 0.5 | 97 | |
| Number of Chair Positions Chairman holds | | Average Number | 82.0 | 0.2 | 21 | 58.0 | 0.5 | 98 | |
| Diversity | | | | | | | | | |
| Board age | | Avg Age / Bloomberg Score | 42.2 | 60.8 | 6.2 | 14 | 25.6 | 61.4 | 6.0 |
| M13 Board Members that are Women | | % | 56.0 | 32.1 | 22 | 31.5 | 26.8 | 95 | |
| Female Chairperson | | Y/N | 12.0 | Majority No | 21 | 9.8 | Majority No | 95 | |
| Independence | | | | | | | | | |
| Independent Directors | | % | 72.5 | 72.5 | 22 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 95 | |
| Non-Executive Directors on Board | | % | 68.9 | 82.0 | 21 | 48.4 | 82.9 | 94 | |
| Leadership Independence | | Bloomberg Score | 74.0 | 8.8 | 21 | 47.5 | 8.0 | 95 | |
| CEO Duality | | Y/N | 80.8 | Majority No | 22 | 54.0 | Majority Yes | 96 | |
| Refreshment | | | | | | | | | |

| Metric | SFDR PAIs | | ICG Score | Ø Value or Quantity (Arithmetic Average) | | Nr Companies reported | ICG Score | Ø Value or Quantity (Arithmetic Average) | | Nr Companies reported |
|--|-----------|-----------------------------------|-------------|--|-------|-----------------------|-------------|--|-------|-----------------------|
| Chairman Tenure | | Years / Bloomberg Score | 62.5 | 3.4 | 7.4 | 21 | 37.9 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 95 |
| Board Tenure Balance | | Bloomberg Score | 54.4 | 6.5 | | 21 | 38.0 | 6.5 | | 94 |
| Board Refreshment | | Bloomberg Score | 61.2 | 7.3 | | 21 | 38.7 | 6.6 | | 95 |
| Board Members serving >10Y | | Years | | 1.3 | | 21 | | 1.7 | | 98 |
| Executive Compensation | | | 52.5 | | | 20 | 31.5 | | | 87 |
| Pay Governance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Compensation Remuneration Committee | | Amount | 60.8 | 3.6 | | 21 | 40.9 | 3.5 | | 95 |
| Independent Directors on Compensation Committ | | % | 79.5 | 94.7 | | 21 | 52.9 | 91.5 | | 93 |
| Independent Compensation Committee Chairpers | | Y/N | 84.0 | Majority Yes | | 21 | 54.8 | Majority No | | 93 |
| Pledging Restriction | | Policy | 4.0 | Majority Unknown | | 1 | 3.8 | Majority Unknown | | 7 |
| Executive Share Ownership Guidelines | | Y/N | 58.8 | Majority Yes | | 21 | 32.1 | Majority No | | 94 |
| Say on Pay Support Level | | % | 72.1 | 90.1 | | 20 | 37.5 | 90.1 | | 67 |
| Say on Pay Approval | | Policy | 76.4 | Majority Yes | | 20 | 41.2 | Majority No | | 69 |
| Frequency of Say on Pay Votes | | Amount | 40.0 | 1.0 | | 20 | 21.1 | 1.0 | | 67 |
| Incentive Structure | | | | | | | | | | |
| CEO Founder | | Y/N | 8.4 | Majority No | | 21 | 11.1 | Majority No | | 97 |
| Compensation paid to Executives | | USD Millions / % of CFO | 63.0 | 12.7 | 2.50% | 21 | 36.4 | 9.2 | 7.7% | 92 |
| Executive Compensation Linked to ESG | | Policy | 66.0 | Majority Yes | | 21 | 35.6 | Majority No | | 96 |
| Executive Compensation Linked to Climate Goals | | Policy | 19.2 | Majority No | | 21 | 16.0 | Majority No | | 95 |
| Board Compensation Linked to ESG | | Policy | 8.4 | Majority No | | 21 | 7.0 | Majority No | | 95 |
| Expectations | | | | | | | | | | |
| Guidance Quality | | St. Dev. Capex Guidance to Capex | 58.8 | 0.41 | | 25 | 33.6 | 0.66 | | 151 |
| Diversity | | | 37.9 | | | 14 | 21.8 | | | 61 |
| Diversity | | | | | | | | | | |
| Female Executives | | % | 23.0 | 13.7 | | 21 | 13.3 | 11.6 | | 94 |
| Women in Management | | % | 24.5 | 20.4 | | 15 | 14.4 | 21.1 | | 55 |
| Women Mgmt to Employees Ratio | | Ratio | 36.1 | 1.2 | | 15 | 18.8 | 1.2 | | 53 |
| M13 Board Gender Diversity | | % | 53.7 | 32.2 | | 21 | 31.2 | 26.8 | | 94 |
| Board Gender Diversity | | Bloomberg Score | 41.3 | 4.9 | | 21 | 24.4 | 4.1 | | 95 |
| Gender Pay Gap Breakout | | Y/N | 30.0 | Majority No | | 21 | 17.1 | Majority No | | 95 |
| M12 Mean Gender Pay Gap | | % Difference | 1.5 | 23.1 | | 1 | 0.2 | 23.1 | | 1 |
| M12 Median Gender Pay Gap | | % Difference | - | Unknown | | - | - | #DIV/0! | | 0 |
| Shareholder Rights | | | 32.7 | | | 15 | 20.4 | | | 60 |
| Director Voting | | | | | | | | | | |
| Director Support | | Bloomberg Score | 56.0 | 6.7 | | 21 | 31.9 | 6.3 | | 81 |
| Shareholder Policies | | | | | | | | | | |
| Director Election Vote Policy | | 1 = Majority, 2 = Plurality | 64.0 | 1.00 | | 16 | 35.4 | 1.07 | | 59 |
| Proxy Access Policy | | Y/N | 8.8 | Majority Unknown | | 13 | 4.0 | Majority Unknown | | 46 |
| Poison Pill Plan | | Y/N | 7.2 | Majority Unknown | | 9 | 4.5 | Majority Unknown | | 37 |
| Supermajority Bylaw Provision | | Y/N | 0.4 | Majority Unknown | | 1 | 1.5 | Majority Unknown | | 6 |
| Dual Class Unequal Voting Rights | | Y/N | 84.0 | Majority No | | 21 | 59.0 | Majority No | | 95 |
| Controlled Company | | Y/N | 8.4 | Majority No | | 21 | 9.3 | Majority No | | 95 |
| Audit | | | 67.4 | | | 18 | 43.5 | | | 81 |
| Audit Committee | | | | | | | | | | |
| Audit Committee Attendance | | % | 82.9 | 98.7 | | 21 | 53.3 | 97.4 | | 88 |
| Audit Committee Meetings | | Amount | 24.0 | 4.3 | | 21 | 17.5 | 4.9 | | 92 |
| Audit Financial Expert | | Y/N | 76.8 | Majority Yes | | 21 | 54.5 | Majority No | | 95 |
| Independent Audit Committee Chairperson | | Y/N | 84.0 | Majority Yes | | 21 | 57.1 | Majority Yes | | 92 |
| Independent Directors on Audit Committee | | % | 81.3 | 96.8 | | 21 | 55.4 | 95.9 | | 93 |
| Size of Audit Committee | | Size | 43.2 | 3.4 | | 21 | 30.3 | 3.4 | | 95 |
| External Auditor | | | | | | | | | | |
| Auditor Ratification | | Y/N | 64.0 | Majority Yes | | 16 | 44.7 | Majority No | | 72 |
| Auditor Ratification Support Level | | % | 60.6 | 94.65 | | 16 | 40.0 | 97.5 | | 66 |
| Auditor Expenses | | USD Millions / % of CFO | 60.0 | 2.0 | 0.32% | 22 | 35.9 | 4.4 | 8.96% | 106 |
| Years Auditor Employed | | Years | 64.1 | 15.6 | | 19 | 37.8 | 15.5 | | 72 |
| Audit Outcome | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Restatement Impact | | Bloomberg Score | 4.0 | 10.0 | | 1 | 3.7 | 10.0 | | 6 |
| Auditor Opinion | | Bloomberg Score | 84.0 | 10.0 | | 21 | 58.4 | 10.0 | | 94 |
| Independence | | | 48.3 | | | 25 | 53.2 | | | 161 |
| Insider ownership | | % | 44.8 | 11.9 | | 25 | 50.9 | 12.0 | | 161 |
| Government ownership | | % | 56.5 | 3.1 | | 25 | 58.5 | 1.4 | | 161 |
| Governance Rating - Bloomberg | | The higher, the better | 60.9 | | | 23 | 38.5 | | | 116 |
| Governance Rating - Refinitiv | | The higher, the better | 61.6 | | | 23 | 40.1 | | | 116 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL | | the higher, the better (0 to 100) | 55.5 | | | | 35.7 | | | |
| SOCIAL | | the higher, the better (0 to 100) | 29.8 | | | | 17.5 | | | |
| GOVERNANCE | | the higher, the better (0 to 100) | 53.5 | | | | 40.0 | | | |
| ESG Rating - Sustainalytics | | The higher, the better | 61.1 | | | 23 | 38.9 | | | 95 |
| ESG Rating - MSCI | | The higher, the better | 19.6 | | | 6 | 9.1 | | | 22 |
| ESG Rating by ICG | | the higher, the better (0 to 100) | 50.4 | | | | 34.4 | | | |