

# ESG Quarterly - 2023 - 4Q



**Energy Champions Fund** 

ICG Oil and Gas Universe

	SFDR	
Matric	JI DK	

	ICG Score

Ø Value or Quantity	
(Arithmetic Average)	

C	0	m	p	9	r	ı	e	S

100 0	Ø Value or Quantity
ICG Score	(Arithmetic Average)

Climate Exposure  Transition Risk	the higher, the better (0 to 100)	51.5				33.7			
		54.0			21	39.1			125
Transition Risk		54.0			21	39.1			123
Carbon Pricing	Policy	34.8	Majority No		24	29.2	Majority No		14'
Climate Scenario Analysis	Policy	49.2	Majority No		24	40.2	Majority No		14'
Risks of Climate Change Discussed	Policy	85.2	Majority Yes		24	59.8	Majority Yes		14
Climate Change Opportunities Discussed	Policy	24.0	Majority No		24	16.5	Majority No		14
Investment in Sustainable Products	\$ m /% of Capex	15.9	724.3	6.8%	7	7.8	682.8	9.4%	24
Embedded Carbon	mmboe / % of Proven Reserves	70.6	497'932	29.4%	25	50.7	421'839	24.3%	138
GHG Emissions Management		65.3			21	46.9			129
GHG Emissions		66.0	(2.4	0.000/	3177	00.7	90.5	0.000/	
Fugitive Emissions	tonnes / % of Production	66.7	41.4	0.02%	17	27.3	77.5	0.08%	59
Vented Emissions	tonnes / % of Production tonnes / % of Production	48.0 56.5	367.5 4'766.8	0.29%	17 16	23.6 24.5	271.7 3'433.8	0.30%	64
Emissions from Other Combustion Process Emissions	tonnes / % of Production	41.4	932.8	0.14%	12	15.1	495.4	0.14%	3.
Methane of Scop 1 Emissions	%	61.4	19.2	0.1470	19	40.9	15.0	0.1470	98
Scope 1 GHG or CO2 Emissions	kt / % of Production	68.0	6.0	0.002%	21	29.2	8.1	0.003%	8'
Gas Flaring	kt / % of Production	54.9	390.0	0.24%	18	27.3	916.4	6.16%	76
M1 Scope 1 GHG/EVIC	Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m)	77.7	251.5		25	68.0	411.0		20'
MI Scope 2 GHG/EVIC	Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m)		16.6		25		34.2		20'
M2 Scope 1 & 2 GHG/EVIC = Carbon Footprint/EVIC	Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m)	76.5	268.1		25	65.9	441.6		20'
M1 Scope 3 GHG/EVIC	Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m)		2'697.0		25		3'926.9		20'
M1 Scope 1 & 2 & 3 GHG/EVIC	Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m)	70.3	2'965.1		25	60.8	4'368.5		20'
M3 GHG Intensity	Mt CO2-eq/Sales (€m)	56.3	318.4		20	35.8	462.9		11!
GHG Emissions Policies									
GHG Emissions Reduction Policy	Policy	70.8	Majority Yes		24	58.1	Majority Yes		14
Emissions Reduction Initiatives	Policy	85.2	Majority Yes		24	62.3	Majority Yes		14'
GHG Regulation GHG Emissions Covered under Regulatory Progr.	ar %	20.4	46.4		11	9.0	56.2		100
GHG Emissions Covered under Regulatory Progr.	UII 70	20.4	40.4		-11	9.0	30.Z		100
OE4 Net Zero Emissions Target	Policy	52.8	Majority No		24	42.4	Majority No		14
Science Based Target	Policy	20.4	Majority No		24	14.3	Majority No		14'
Water Management		50.5			14	33.5			67
Wastewater		(2.0			2.4	25.0	14 1 1 1 1		2.0
Fracturing Fluid Use Policy OE6 Produced Water Recycled	Policy %	42.0 12.0	Majority No 27.3		24	25.8 8.8	Majority No 40.4		14'
Produced Water Recycled  Produced Water Discharged	%	10.6	24.1		11	3.7	16.9		4.
Produced Water and Flowback	Bloomberg Score	30.2	5.4		14	14.7	4.7		6.
M8 Emissions to Water	1k MT/% of Production	7.6	1.00	0.17%	6	3.1	0.49	0.33%	18
Discharges to Water	Bloomberg Score	12.7	5.3	0.1770	6	6.3	6.1	0.5570	18
Water Use	Sidering Score	12.7	5.5		U	0.5	0.1		- 10
Water Consumption Derived	Bloomberg Score	34.2	5.7		15	15.5	5.1		60
Freshwater Withdrawals	Bloomberg Score	34.5	5.4		16	21.8	5.4		82
OE8 Water Stress Exposure %	%	38.0	5.1		10	24.2	11.5		54
Water Use Policy									
OE7 Water Policy	Policy	85.2	Majority Yes		24	59.3	Majority Yes		14
Energy Management		34.0			14	20.0			59
Energy Consumption									
Grid Electricity Used	%	4.1	93.7		16	4.9	86.8		76
Grid Electricity Used	MWh / % of Production	37.4	2'410	0.44%	15	14.2	2'722	2.34%	56
Self generated Energy and Self Sufficiency	MWh / % of Energy Use	31.0	20'959	797.8%	9	15.5	10'389	458.1%	30
M5 Non Renewable Energy Consumption	%		96.2		15		93.0		54
Renewable Energy Consumption	% of Production	6.8	2.3		15	4.5	1.9		54
M6 Energy Consumption Intensity	MWh/Sales	59.2	742		16	36.6	1'208		8
Ecological Impact		52.1			15	27.0			90
Ecosystem Protection									
M4 Exposure to Fossil Fuel Sectors	% of Revenue or EBITDA	1.5	98.5%		25	0.5	99.5%		208
M7 Sites in Environmentaly Sensitive Areas	Quantity / % of Production	25.1	47.3	0.010%	7	6.9	113.0	0.021%	1;
Biodiversity Policy	Policy	74.4	Majority Yes		24	53.8	Majority No		14
Environmental Quality Mgmt Policy	Policy	52.8	Majority No		24	42.4 67.0	Majority No		14' 14'
Arctic Drilling Oil and Gas Environmental Fines	Policy	88.8	Majority No		24	67.0	Majority No		14
Amount of Environmental Fines	Quantity / % of CFO	24.0	0.09	0.003%	7	21.9	0.15	0.002%	4
Environmental Incidents		25	5.05	2.2.2.0,0		25	5.10	2.232,0	
Number of Pipelines Incidents	Quantity / % of Production	0.0			0	3.4	5.2	0.018%	9
	Quantity / % of Production	52.6	53.4	0.073%	19	20.5	106.4	0.090%	8:
Number of Hydrocarbon Spills	Quantity / % of Production	8.0	0.0	0.000%	2	4.5	5.9	0.032%	18
Number of Hydrocarbon Spills Number of Environmental Incidents			0.1	0.00017%	18	25.2	0.7	0.0004%	82
	Quantity / % of Production	61.9	0.1	0.00017%		23.2		0.000 170	
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills	Quantity / % of Production		0.1	0.00017%	15			0.0001.0	.87
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills	Quantity/% of Production	61.9 46.8	0.1	0.00017%	15	24.9		0.000 1/3	83
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills  Air Quality  Air Emissions PM10 Emissions	Quantity / % of Production	<b>46.8</b> 23.1	0.81	0.0004%	6	<b>24.9</b>	0.86	0.0008%	2
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills  Air Quality Air Emissions PM10 Emissions Sulphur Dioxide Sulphur Oxide Emissions	Quantity / % of Production SOx Tonnes / % of Production	23.1 47.4	0.81 6.7	0.0004% 0.019%	6 15	24.9 11.7 24.8	10.7	0.0008% 0.030%	2:
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills  Air Quality  Air Emissions PM10 Emissions Sulphur Dioxide Sulphur Oxide Emissions OEI VOC Emissions	Quantity / % of Production  SOx Tonnes / % of Production  kt / % of Production	23.1 47.4 35.2	0.81 6.7 9.1	0.0004% 0.019% 0.004%	6 15 15	11.7 24.8 14.9	10.7 16.8	0.0008% 0.030% 0.018%	25 84 74
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills  Air Quality  Air Emissions PMI0 Emissions Sulphur Dioxide Sulphur Oxide Emissions OEI VOC Emissions Nitrogen Oxide Emissions	Quantity / % of Production SOx Tonnes / % of Production	23.1 47.4	0.81 6.7	0.0004% 0.019%	6 15	24.9 11.7 24.8	10.7	0.0008% 0.030%	2:
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills  Air Quality  Air Emissions PM10 Emissions Sulphur Dioxide Sulphur Oxide Emissions OEI VOC Emissions	Quantity / % of Production  SOx Tonnes / % of Production  kt / % of Production	23.1 47.4 35.2	0.81 6.7 9.1	0.0004% 0.019% 0.004%	6 15 15	11.7 24.8 14.9	10.7 16.8 15.0	0.0008% 0.030% 0.018%	25 84 74
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills  Air Quality  Air Emissions PM10 Emissions Sulphur Dioxide Sulphur Oxide Emissions OEI VOC Emissions Nitrogen Oxide Emissions Air Emissions Policies Air Pollution Reduction Policy	Quantity/% of Production  SOx Tonnes/% of Production  kt/% of Production  kt/% of Production	23.1 47.4 35.2 30.3 67.2	0.81 6.7 9.1 25.1	0.0004% 0.019% 0.004%	6 15 15 16	11.7 24.8 14.9 13.5 40.7	10.7 16.8 15.0	0.0008% 0.030% 0.018%	25 84 74 84
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills  Air Quality  Air Emissions  PM10 Emissions  Sulphur Dioxide Sulphur Oxide Emissions  OEI VOC Emissions  Nitrogen Oxide Emissions  Air Emissions Policies	Quantity/% of Production  SOx Tonnes/% of Production  kt/% of Production  kt/% of Production	46.8 23.1 47.4 35.2 30.3	0.81 6.7 9.1 25.1	0.0004% 0.019% 0.004%	6 15 15 16	24.9 11.7 24.8 14.9 13.5	10.7 16.8 15.0	0.0008% 0.030% 0.018%	25 84 74 84
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills  Air Quality  Air Emissions  PMI0 Emissions  Sulphur Dioxide Sulphur Oxide Emissions  OEL VOC Emissions  Nitrogen Oxide Emissions  Air Emissions Policies  Air Pollution Reduction Policy  Waste Management	Quantity/% of Production  SOx Tonnes/% of Production  kt/% of Production  kt/% of Production	23.1 47.4 35.2 30.3 67.2	0.81 6.7 9.1 25.1	0.0004% 0.019% 0.004%	6 15 15 16	11.7 24.8 14.9 13.5 40.7	10.7 16.8 15.0	0.0008% 0.030% 0.018%	25 84 74 84
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills  Air Quality  Air Emissions PMI0 Emissions Sulphur Dioxide Sulphur Oxide Emissions OEI VOC Emissions Nitrogen Oxide Emissions Air Emissions Policies Air Pollution Reduction Policy  Waste Management Hazardous Waste Generation	Quantity/% of Production  SOx Tonnes/% of Production  kt/% of Production  kt/% of Production  Policy	46.8 23.1 47.4 35.2 30.3 67.2 25.8	0.81 6.7 9.1 25.1 Majority Yes	0.0004% 0.019% 0.004%	6 15 15 16 24	24.9 11.7 24.8 14.9 13.5 40.7	10.7 16.8 15.0 Majority No	0.0008% 0.030% 0.018%	2! 84 74 84 14'
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills  Air Quality  Air Emissions  PM10 Emissions  Sulphur Dioxide Sulphur Oxide Emissions  OEI VOC Emissions  Nitrogen Oxide Emissions  Air Emissions Policies  Air Pollution Reduction Policy  Waste Management  Hazardous Waste Generation  Hazardous Waste Mgmt Policy	Quantity /% of Production  SOx Tonnes /% of Production  kt /% of Production  kt /% of Production  policy  Policy	46.8  23.1 47.4 35.2 30.3  67.2  25.8	0.81 6.7 9.1 25.1 Majority Yes	0.0004% 0.019% 0.004% 0.114%	6 15 15 16 24 14	24.9 11.7 24.8 14.9 13.5 40.7 15.7	10.7 16.8 15.0 Majority No	0.0008% 0.030% 0.018% 0.033%	2! 84 74 84 14' 76
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills  Air Quality  Air Emissions PM10 Emissions Sulphur Dioxide Sulphur Oxide Emissions OEI VOC Emissions Nitrogen Oxide Emissions Air Emissions Policies Air Pollution Reduction Policy  Waste Management Hazardous Waste Generation Hazardous Waste Mgmt Policy M9 Hazardous Waste	Quantity/% of Production  SOx Tonnes / % of Production  kt / % of Production  kt / % of Production  Policy  Policy  Ik MT / % of Production	46.8  23.1  47.4  35.2  30.3  67.2  25.8  34.8  35.7	0.81 6.7 9.1 25.1 Majority Yes Majority No 120.9	0.0004% 0.019% 0.004% 0.114%	6 15 15 16 24 14 24 13	24.9 11.7 24.8 14.9 13.5 40.7 15.7 20.3 16.5	10.7 16.8 15.0 Majority No Majority No 119.2	0.0008% 0.030% 0.018% 0.033%	2! 84 74 84 14' 76
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills  Air Quality  Air Emissions  PM10 Emissions  Sulphur Dioxide Sulphur Oxide Emissions  OE1 VOC Emissions  Nitrogen Oxide Emissions  Air Emissions Policies  Air Pollution Reduction Policy  Waste Management  Hazardous Waste Generation  Hazardous Waste Mgmt Policy  M9 Hazardous Waste  Hazardous Waste  Hazardous Waste  Hazardous Waste  Hazardous Waste  Hazardous Waste  Hazardous Waste Recycled	Quantity / % of Production  SOx Tonnes / % of Production  kt / % of Production  kt / % of Production  Policy  Policy  Ik MT / % of Production  kt / %	46.8  23.1  47.4  35.2  30.3  67.2  25.8  34.8  35.7  26.8	0.81 6.7 9.1 25.1 Majority Yes Majority No 120.9 40.8	0.0004% 0.019% 0.004% 0.114%	6 15 15 16 24 14 24 13 13	24.9  11.7 24.8 14.9 13.5  40.7  15.7  20.3 16.5 18.0	10.7 16.8 15.0 Majority No Majority No 119.2 41.5	0.0008% 0.030% 0.018% 0.033%	25 84 74 84 14' 76 14' 68 6
Number of Environmental Incidents Hydrocarbon Spills  Air Quality  Air Emissions PM10 Emissions Sulphur Dioxide Sulphur Oxide Emissions OEI VOC Emissions Nitrogen Oxide Emissions Air Emissions Policies Air Pollution Reduction Policy  Waste Management Hazardous Waste Generation Hazardous Waste Mgmt Policy M9 Hazardous Waste Hazardous Waste Hazardous Waste	Quantity /% of Production  SOx Tonnes /% of Production  kt /% of Production  kt /% of Production  kt/% of Production  Policy  Policy  Ik MT /% of Production  kt /%  %	46.8  23.1 47.4 35.2 30.3  67.2  25.8  34.8 35.7 26.8 5.3	0.81 6.7 9.1 25.1 Majority Yes Majority No 120.9 40.8	0.0004% 0.019% 0.004% 0.114%	6 15 15 16 24 14 24 13 13 7	24.9  11.7  24.8  14.9  13.5  40.7  15.7  20.3  16.5  18.0  4.0	10.7 16.8 15.0 Majority No Majority No 119.2 41.5	0.0008% 0.030% 0.018% 0.033%	2! 84 74 84 14' 76 14' 68 6



#### ESG Quarterly - 2023 - 4Q



#### Energy Champions Fund

(only Upstream resp. E&P and Integrated O&G)

Ø Value or Quantity
e
(Arithmetic Average)

ICG Oil and Gas Universe

ICG Score

30.7

only Upstream resp. E&P and Integrated O&G)

Ø Value or Quantity (Arithmetic Average)

ompanies

SOCIAL 32.5 21.7 Occupational Health & Safety Management 43.1 Fatalitie: Fatality Rate Fatalities \* 1'000 / Workforce 56 95% 44.63% Fatality Rate Fatalities \* 1'000 / Employees 0.00% 57.1 0.33% 14.0 Fatality Rate Fatalities \* 1'000 / Contractors 490.50% Health & Safety Policies Short Service Employee Program Majority No 9.2 Majority No Health & Safety Policy Majority Yes 70.8 Majority Yes Safety Incidents Lost Time Incident Rate LTIR / 200'000h worked 0.09 35.5 0.13 Lost Time Incident Rate LTIR / 100 Employees 0.07 Lost Time Incident Rate LTIR / 100 Contractors 0.11 34.2 0.13 Recordable Incident Rate 0.52 29.0 0.46 TRIR / 100 Employees Recordable Incident Rate 0.30 41.8 0.28 Recordable Incident Rate 0.48 5.3 **Labor & Employment Practices** 9.3 Training 1'896 Employee Training Cost / Employ 7.3 934 Training Spending per Employee Hours Spent by Firm Empl Training Labor Actions Strikes and Lockouts 3.0 0.4 Duration of Strikes and Lockouts 8.6 Days 2.8 Organized Labor 14.2 9.6 26.3 Employees Unionized Operational Risk Management 63.7 41.6 Operational Incidents Process Safety Event Rate LOPC / 200'000h 100 Employees 0.62 0.70 Tier 2 Process Safety Event Rate LOPC / 200'000h 100 Employees 12.8 Emergency Response & Preparedness Policy Majority Yes 54.2 Maiority No **Community Rights & Relations** 26.5 Community & Human Rights Indigenous Rights Policy Majority No 35.6 Majority Uknown Human Rights Policy Maiority Yes 56.8 Maiority Yes Community Engagement Policy Majority Yes 40.7 Majority Unknown Community Spending USD Million / % of CFO 22.9 0.12% 9.0 1.40% Community Relations Non Technical Delays Amount 6.0 6.3 25 25 7.92 11.3 9.6 Non Technical Delays Duration in Days **Ethics & Compliance** 50.7 36.6 Anti-Bribery Ethics Policy Policy Maiority Yes 69.1 Majority Yes Exposure to Controversial Weapons Majority No 65.6 Majority No Competitive Behavior Fines for Anti Competition 11.8 Fines for Anti Competition USD Millions / % of CFO 11.8 Legal Settlement USD Millions / % of CFC 21 1.2% 5.2 116 0.9% Legal & Regulatory Management Political Involvement Policy Majority No 28.8 Majority Unknown UNGC 64.3 46.7 UNGC UNGC Violations (Latest) Majority No 59.6 or Unknown Principle 1: Support and Respect Human Rights Majority Yes 56.8 Maiority Yes Principle 2: Not Complicit in Human Rights Abuses Majority No Majority No Principle 3: Right to Collective Bargaining 16.8 Majority Unknowr 17.6 Majority Unknown Principle 4: Elimination of Forced Labor 21.6 Majority No Majority No Principle 5: Abolition of Child Labor Policy Majority Yes 44.5 Majority No Principle 6: Elimination of Discrimination in Employ Policy Maiority Yes 68.3 Maiority Yes Principle 7: Recautionary Approach to Environment Majority Yes Majority Yes Principle 8: Promote Environmental Responsibility Majority Yes 66.1 Majority Yes Principle 9: Environmentally Friendly Technology Principle 10: Anti-Corruption Majority Yes 661 Majority Yes Social Rating - Bloomberg The higher, the better 37.6 24.8

OVERNAN	CE		60.9				44.7			
rd Composition			65.8			23	49.9			14
Director F	Roles									
	Non-Exec Directors on 3+ Boards	%	88.1	8.3		24	66.1	7.2		14
	Executive Directors on 2+ Boards	%	84.0	-		21	61.5	4.2		13
	Highest Number of BOD any Director Serves Ex-CE	Amount	73.2	2.4		24	55.6	2.2		14
	Public Company BOD serve as BOD ex-CEO	Average Number	88.7	0.8		24	66.0	0.7		14
	Number of Board Positions CEO holds	Amount	89.6	0.3		23	66.7	0.3		14
	Number of Chair Positions Chairman holds	Average Number	94.8	0.1		24	69.3	0.2		14
Diversity										
	Board age	Avg Age / Bloomberg Score	53.3	61.2	6.1	22	35.6	60.9	5.9	1
M13	Board Members that are Women	%	49.4	25.7		24	31.6	22.2		14
	Female Chairperson	Y/N	13.2	Majority No		24	12.6	Majority No		14
Independ	lence									

48.8

The higher, the better

Social Rating - Refinitiv



# ESG Quarterly - 2023 - 4Q



### Energy Champions Fund

### ICG Oil and Gas Universe

Majoristic Composition	SO Qua	11terly 2025 4Q	CCC B BB BBB A AA AAA	(or	lly Upstream resp. E&P and In	tegrated O&G	)	(0	only Upstream resp. E&P and Int	egrated O&G)	
Months in the Comment of Teach   1	1etric			ICG Score			Companies	ICG Score			Compi
Testimosphicinspropries		Independent Directors	%	74.5	74.5		24	62.0	62.0		
College			%								
Security Company   Compa											
Column Number   Section	- ( )		Y/N	81.6	Majority No		24	58.4	Majority No		
Record Environment	Refreshn		V (B)1	515	5.7		27	F0 /			
Bottom Procession Pr						7.0				7.5	
Boots Newton Strong 1997  West Companies Provinces Companies Compa											
Comparison   Com				03.7				30.7			
Properties   Pro		Board Morrison Schooling 101					2.1		113		
Properties   Pro	cutive Compen	sation		63.9			22	42.7			
Margine   Margine   Description Companies on Companies   Companies											
Telephone   Telephone potent Committee Columns   No.   1961   Migning Yee   13   16.3   Majorty Yee		Compensation Remuneration Committee	Amount	69.6	3.8		24	50.5	3.6		
Reggrey Reministration		Independent Directors on Compensation Committe	%	87.7	95.3		23	60.8	91.4		
December 9/1900 Protective contents of the c		Independent Compensation Committee Chairperso	Y/N	88.4	Majority Yes		23	61.3	Majority Yes		
Seyon Day Support Inval		Pledging Restriction	Policy	44.8	Majority No		13	20.1	Majority No		
Signating approach   Private   Signature											
Preparting of Say on Physioles		Say on Pay Support Level	%		96.5						
Secretary   Secr			Policy								
CEO Foundary   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1			Amount	42.0	1.1		20	25.5	1.1		
Correspondency path to Constanting	Incentive										
Beautiful Composition Linear de 1950   Payor No.   272   Majority No.   240   230   240											
Bookstein Compression Invited to Effect   Party   19.8   Majorth No.   25.8   Majorth No.						0.93%				3.8%	
Board Compensation Unified to ESG											
Director   Continue Quality   St. Res. Joseph Continue Name Cape   175.0   20.5   20		•									
August 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970	Evert :		Policy	9.6	Majority NO		24	8.4	Majority NO		
Diversion   Francis Discretions   Section	Expectat		St. Dev. Capex Guidance to Capex	73.6	0.26		25	49.3	0.51		
Personal Paccardines   1	city			76.0			16	27.6			
Women in Management   9							10				
Woman Adjoints Deringhyses Satio   No.   170   0.9   0.9   10   117   0.8											
Miles   Sear Conder Deterally											
Blaced Conder Develop (			Ratio								
Control Pay Cap Breadware   YN	M13		%								
M12   Metal Cannel Pay Cap   1,0 Persons   17   12   15   17   11   11   11   11   11   11											
April											
Director Vising											
Director Volargo   Director Support   Boveroup Score   \$2.5   \$6.6   20   32.6   57     Shareholder Peticles	MIZ	Median Gender Pay Gap	% Difference	7.6	4.9		4	1.5	11.0		
Director Support   Bloom-Integration   State Profession   State Profession   Shareholder Profe				37.2			19	26.4			
Direction Flote Delicy   1 + Majoring 2 - Presenting   560   117   18   502   115	Director		Bloomberg Score	52.5	6.6		20	32.6	5.7		
Proxy Access Palicy   VN	Sharehol	der Policies									
Poison Pill Plan		Director Election Vote Policy	1 = Majority, 2 = Plurality	66.0	1.17		18	50.2	1.15		
Supermajority Rykow Provision   Vit   156 Majority Vinknown   12   89 Majority Unknown   Dual Class Unequal by Unity Rights   Vit   924   Majority No   24   77   70   Majority No   24   77   Majority No   24   77   Majority No   24   77   Majority No   25   70   Majority No   25   70   Majority No   26   77   Majority No   27   Majority No   27   Majority No   28   Majority No   28   Majority No   29   Majority No   20   Majo		Proxy Access Policy	Y/N	24.4	Majority Unknown		16	12.7	Majority Unknown		
Dual Class Unequal Voting Rights   VN		Poison Pill Plan		10.4	Majority Unknown						
Testing   Test											
Audit Committee  Audit Committee Attendance  Audit Committee Attendance  Audit Committee Meetings Anumer  Audit Committee Chairperson  VN 950 Majority Ves  Independent Audit Committee Chairperson  VN 920 Majority Ves  Independent Directors on Audit Committee  N 973 94-9 23 625 534  State of Audit Committee  N 973 94-9 23 625 534  State of Audit Committee  N 973 94-9 23 625 534  Auditor Fartification  VN 840 Majority Ves  Auditor Fartification VN 840 Majority Ves  Auditor Fartification Support Level  N 823 97-98 21 542 Majority No  Auditor Expenses  USO Millor/ Not COMMITTEE  Net Restatement Impact  Auditor Disponse  Note Restatement Impact  Momentary Sorve  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 255 9.8  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 255 9.8  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 255 9.8  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 255 9.8  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 255 9.8  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 255 9.8  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 255 9.8  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 255 9.8  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 255 9.8  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 25 5.5  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 25 5.5  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 25 5.5  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 25 5.5  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 25 5.5  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  480 10.0 12 25 5.5  Auditor Opinion  Bloomberg Sorve  Auditor Sorve  Aud											
Audit Committee Attendance   N   70.8   88.5   20   56.8   91.2		Controlled Company	T/IN	20.4	Majority No		24	17.7	Majority No		
Audit Committee Meetings	t Audit Co	mmittee		76.0			22	54.0			
Audit Committee Meetings	Audit Co		94	70.8	99.5		20	56.9	91.2		
Audit Financial Expert											
Independent Audit Committee   Name   Section   Name   Section   Majority Ves   23   65.3   Majority Ves   1   62.5   93.4											
Independent Directors on Audit Committee   %   873   94.9   23   62.6   93.4											
Size of Audit Committee   Size   Side   Si											
External Auditor   Auditor Ratification   YN											
Auditor Patification	External			55	5.5		21	56.7	5.0		
Auditor Patification Support Level 8 823 97.99 21 491 983  Auditor Expenses USD Million / 9 of CFO 81.9 8.7 0.11% 23 62.2 3.0 0.67%  Vears Auditor Employed Vears 75.8 17.6 23 51.4 16.8  Audit Outcome  Net Restatement Impact Bloomberg Score 92.0 10.0 12 25.5 9.8  Auditor Opinion Bloomberg Score 92.0 10.0 23 68.9 9.9  Sendence  Insider ownership 8 65.0 18.1 25 481 8.6  Government ownership 8 52.4 7.8 25 56.8 3.6  Government ownership 8 52.4 7.8 25 56.8 3.6  The higher, the better 68.5 24 45.2  The higher, the better 58.9 24 38.8  RRONMENTAL Briston Station Stati			Y/N	84.0	Maiority Yes		21	54.2	Maiority No		
Auditor Expenses USD Millions / Not FCFO 819 8.7 0.11% 23 6.22 3.0 0.67% Years Auditor Employed Years 75.8 17.6 23 51.4 16.8    Net Restatement Impact Bloomberg Score 48.0 10.0 12 25.5 9.8   Auditor Opinion Bloomberg Score 9.20 10.0 23 68.9 9.9    Pendence 61.2 2.5 50.7   Insider ownership 8 65.0 18.1 25 48.1 8.6   Covernment ownership 8 65.0 18.1 25 56.8 3.6    Covernment ownership 8 52.4 7.8 25 56.8 3.6    France Rating - Bloomberg The higher, the better 68.5 24 45.2    France Rating - Refinitiv The higher, the better 68.5 24 38.8    RONMENTAL											
Vears Auditor Employed   Vears   75.8   17.6   23   51.4   16.8     Audit Outcome			USD Millions / % of CFO			0.11%				0.67%	
Audit Outcome  Net Restatement Impact Biomberg Score 92.0 10.0 12 255 9.8  Auditor Opinion Biomberg Score 92.0 10.0 23 689 9.9  Auditor Opinion Biomberg Score 92.0 10.0 23 689 9.9  Auditor Opinion Biomberg Score 92.0 10.0 23 689 9.9  Auditor Opinion Biomberg Score 10.1 25 50.7  Insider ownership 9.0 65.0 18.1 25 48.1 8.6  Government ownership 9.0 52.4 7.8 25 56.8 3.6  Auditor Opinion Finance Rating - Bloomberg The higher, the better 68.5 24 45.2  Finance Rating - Refinitiv The higher, the better 58.9 24 38.8  Auditor Opinion Finance Rating - Refinitiv The higher, the better 10.1 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0			Years								
Auditor Opinion Bloomberg Score 92.0 10.0 23 68.9 9.9  Pendence 61.2 25 50.7  Insider ownership % 65.0 18.1 25 48.1 8.6  Government ownership % 52.4 7.8 25 56.8 3.6  Trance Rating - Bloomberg The higher, the better 68.5 24 45.2  Trance Rating - Refinitiv The higher, the better 58.9 24 38.8  RONMENTAL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 51.5 33.7  RENANCE The higher, the better (0 to 100) 52.5 24 40.4  Rating - Sustainalytics The higher, the better 57.3 24 40.4  Rating - Sustainalytics The higher, the better 83.8 8 80.0	Audit Ou										
September   Size   Si		Net Restatement Impact	Bloomberg Score	48.0	10.0			25.5	9.8		
Insider ownership		Auditor Opinion	Bloomberg Score	92.0	10.0		23	68.9	9.9		
Insider ownership											
Solution	pendence										
The higher, the better 68.5 24 45.2  Trance Rating - Refinitiv The higher, the better 58.9 24 38.8  RONMENTAL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 51.5 33.7  AL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 52.5 21.7  ERNANCE the higher, the better (0 to 100) 60.9 44.7  Rating - Sustainalytics The higher, the better (57.3 24 40.4  Rating - MSCI The higher, the better 83.8 8 80.0		*									
The higher, the better S8.9 24 38.8  RONMENTAL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 51.5 33.7  AL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 52.5 21.7  RENANCE the higher, the better (0 to 100) 60.9 44.7  Rating - Sustainalytics The higher, the better 57.3 24 40.4  Charting - MSCI The higher, the better 83.8 8 80.0		Government ownership	%	52.4	7.8		25	56.8	3.6		
RONMENTAL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 51.5 33.7  AL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 32.5 21.7  ERNANCE the higher, the better (0 to 100) 60.9 44.7  Rating - Sustainalytics The higher, the better 57.3 24 40.4  Rating - MSCI The higher, the better 83.8 8 80.0	rnance Rating	g - Bloomberg	The higher, the better	68.5			24	45.2			
RONMENTAL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 51.5 33.7  AL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 32.5 21.7  ERNANCE the higher, the better (0 to 100) 60.9 44.7  Rating - Sustainalytics The higher, the better 57.3 24 40.4  Rating - MSCI The higher, the better 83.8 8 80.0	rnance Pating	a - Refinitiv	The higher, the better	58.9			24	38.8			
AL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 53.5 21.7  ERNANCE the higher, the better (0 to 100) 60.9 44.7  Rating - Sustainalytics The higher, the better 57.3 24 40.4  Rating - MSCI The higher, the better 83.8 8 80.0	vernance Raung	g - Refillitiv	me nigner, die better	56.5			24	36.6			
(0 to 100)   52.5   24.7	IRONMENTAL		(0 to 100)	51.5				33.7			
Rating - Sustainalytics  The higher, the better	AL		(0 to 100)								
Rating - MSCI The higher, the better 83.8 8 80.0	ERNANCE		(0 to 100)	60.9				44.7			
C Pating by ICC the higher, the better E2.7 75.9	Rating - Susta	inalytics	The higher, the better	57.3			24	40.4			
	Rating - MSCI		The higher, the better	83.8			8	80.0			
	G Rating	by ICG	the higher, the better (0 to 100)	52.7				36.9			