

ESG Qua

MSCI

Industrial Metals Champions Fund

ICG Ø Value or Quantity Nr Companies
Score (Arithmetic Average) reported

ICG Score

16.7

22.2

12.3

39.9

5.8%

4.4%

(incl. Base- & Precious Metals, Bulk Materials incl. Coal, Steel, Alu) Ø Value or Quantity Nr Companies (Arithmetic Average) reported

MSCI Metals & Mining Index

	C	SFDR	
Metric	SFDR PAIs		

arterly - 2022 - 4Q	ESG RATINGS	
DR		

			Score	(Arithmetic A				(Arithmetic A		
IVIRONMI	ENTAL	the higher, the better (0 to 100)	60.9				40.6			
ate Exposure			75.5			25	52.8			
Transition										
	Carbon Pricing	Policy	56.8	Majority Yes		25	18.3	Majority No		
	Climate Scenario Analysis	Policy	60.4	Majority Yes		25	28.4	Majority No		_
	Risks of Climate Change Discussed	Policy	89.2 42.4	Majority Yes Majority No		25 25	45.0 20.5	Majority No		
	Climate Change Opportunities Discussed Thermal Coal Expsoure	Policy % of Revenue	75.5	1.6		25	52.8	Majority No 4.0		_
Emissions Mar	nagament .		63.6			23	48.5			
GHG Emis	ssions									
	Methane of Scop 1 Emissions	%	22.3	6.9		6	8.1	7.0		
	Scope 1 GHG or CO2 Emissions	kt / % of Production or CFO	63.1	11.8	0.44%	24	34.7	10.0	0.55%	
M1	Scope 1 GHG/EVIC	Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m)	65.9	513		24	68.6	546		
M1	Scope 2 GHG/EVIC	Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m)		150		24		166		
M2	Scope 1 & 2 GHG/EVIC = Carbon Footpring/EVIC	Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m)	60.7	663 2'396		24	59.8	703 2'945		
M1	Scope 3 GHG/EVIC	Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m)	60.0	3'059		24	60.2	3'653		
M1 M3	Scope 1 & 2 & 3 GHG/EVIC GHG Intensity	Mt CO2-eq/EVIC (€m) Mt CO2-eq/Sales (€m)	68.0 53.8	797		24	69.2 38.9	1/185		_
	ssions Policies	Mt CO2-ed/Sales (EIII)	55.8	797		22	38.9	1 103		_
GHG EITHS	GHG Emissions Reduction Policy	Policy	96.4	Majority Yes		25	69.7	Majority Yes		_
	Emissions Reduction Initiatives	Policy	92.8	Majority Yes		25	77.0	Majority Yes		_
GHG Targ		. oney	52.0	ividjointy res		25	77.0	Majority 163		_
OE4	Net Zero Emissions Target	Policy	67.6	Majority Yes		25	37.5	Majority No		_
	Science Based Target	Policy	28.0	Majority No		25	15.8	Majority No		
r Managemen	t		67.2			15	46.4			
Wastewat	ter			17!77 /	0.00/			El037	550.00/	
M8 Water Use	Emissions to Water e	1k MT/% of Production or CFO	8.0	13'334	0.2%	3	14.5	5'917	568.0%	
	Water Consumption Derived Freshwater Withdrawals	Bloomberg Score Bloomberg Score	46.6	6.1 5.9		19 18	14.5 18.6	5.6		_
OE8	Water Stress Exposure %	%	42.5 33.8	15.6		10	12.5	6.2 9.8		—
Water Use			55.5	10.0		.0	12.0			
OE7	Water Policy	Policy	96.4	Majority Yes		25	72.4	Majority Yes		
gy Managemer	nt		40.9			16	22.5			
	onsumption									
	Grid Electricity Used	%	15.5	75.8		16	5.9	85.6		
	Grid Electricity Used	MWh / % of EV	41.9	9'079	0.65%	17	22.8	5'478	0.65%	
	Self generated Energy and Self Sufficiency	MWh / % of Energy Use	13.2	5'471	17.2%	9	2.9	2'204.1	3.3%	
M5	Non Renewable Energy Consumption	96		79.9		17		87.2		
	Renewable Energy Consumption	% of Production or CFO	33.4	13.7		17	8.7	3.2		
M6	Energy Consumption Intensity	MWh/Sales	63.4	2'800		22	44.6	77'022.9		
ogical Impact			66.2			18	38.5			
	n Protection	% of Revenue or EBITDA	0/0			25	07.0	22		
M4 M7	Exposure to Fossil Fuel Sectors Sites in Environmentaly Sensitive Areas	% of Revenue or EBITDA Quantity/% of Production or CFO	94.0	6.0 9.0	0.386%	25 9	97.8 2.6	2.2 5.8	0.589%	_
М/		Policy	89.2	Majority Yes	0.386%	25			0.589%	
	Biodiversity Policy Environmental Quality Mgmt Policy	<u> </u>					55.0	Majority No		
	Closure and Remediation Policy	Policy Policy	92.8 77.6	Majority Yes		25	73.1 26.8	Majority Yes Majority No		
	Land Restored	in % of Disturbed - 3yr avg	25.9	Majority Yes 51%		14	5.3	51%		
	Land Restored	ha / % of Production or CFO - 3yr avg	2.1	4'006	0.2%	15	4.2	1'555	9.4%	_
Environm	nental Fines	,	2		0.270	10	1.2		3.170	_
2	Amount of Environmental Fines	Quantity / % of CFO	58.5	3.06	0.08%	19	29.0	0.74	0.02%	
Environm	ental Incidents									_
	Number of Environmental Incidents	Quantity / % of Production or CFO	24.4	3.0	0.393%	10	4.7	25.5	8.254%	_
uality			44.0			15	34.3			
Air Emissi										
	PM10 Emissions	Quantity / % of Production or CFO	23.3	30.20	3.4%	8	8.3	61.65	1.5%	
00	Sulphur Dioxide Sulphur Oxide Emissions	SOx Tonnes / % of Production or CFO kt / % of Production or CFO	33.7	42.0	0.06%	19	30.6	27.1	1.4%	
OE1	VOC Emissions Nitrogen Oxide Emissions	kt / % of Production or CFO kt / % of Production or CFO	18.8 31.8	1.6 26.4	0.06%	18	9.5 29.0	1.4 9.4	0.08%	_
Air Emissi	ions Policies	KE) NOT FIDURE CONTOUR CFO			1.170				2.370	
	Air Pollution Reduction Policy	Policy	71.2	Majority Yes		25	51.9	Majority No		_
te Managemen Hazardou	s Waste Generation		48.3			18	22.3			
324.404	Hazardous Waste Mgmt Policy	Policy	53.2	Majority Yes		25	32.6	Majority No		_
М9	Hazardous Waste	1k MT / % of Production or CFO	64.1	10'964	0.47%	20	27.0	2'235	17.79%	_
	Hazardous Waste	kt/%	72.8	9.0		20	39.8	9.3		
	Hazardous Waste Recycled	%	9.4	39.0		6	6.8	49.0		Ξ
Waste Ge		Delies	/00	Majority		27	10.7	Majority N-		
	Mineral Waste Acid Rock Policy Waste Total	Policy kt / % of Production or CFO	48.8 44.7	Majority No 234'139	15.1%	23	19.3 36.7	Majority No 91'752	8.1%	_
	Tailings Waste	kt/% of Production or CFO	33.4	47'939	6.7%	15	10.0	52'244	6.6%	
ronment Rating	g - Bloomberg	The higher, the better	45.1			20	20.9			
ronment Rating	- 4 1:	The higher, the better	69.2			25	42.2			

30.0

41.6

5.3%

1.3%

Fatalities * 1'000 / Workforce

Fatalities * 1'000 / Employees

Fatality Rate

Occupational Health & Safety Management

Fatalities

SOCIAL



ESG Quarterly - 2022 - 4Q

MSCI ESG RATINGS CCC B BB BBB A AA AAA

Industrial Metals Champions Fund

MSCI Metals & Mining Index

Ø Value or Quantity Ø Value or Quantity ICG Score Score (Arithmetic Average) (Arithmetic Average) Fatalities * 1'000 / Contractors Fatality Rate 12.7 12.2% Health & Safety Policies Short Service Employee Program Majority No Majority No 0.6 Health & Safety Policy Majority Yes 81.7 Majority Yes Safety Incidents Lost Time Incident Rate LTIR / 200'000h worked 48.2 0.37 16.8 0.40 64 Lost Time Incident Rate LTIR / 100 Employees 0.85 20.6 1.16 11.1 0.61 Recordable Incident Rate TRIR / 200'000h worked 0.88 Recordable Incident Rate TRIR / 100 Employees 1.11 11.4 0.97 Recordable Incident Rate TRIR / 100 Contractors 0.63 7.7 1.14 39 Labor & Employment Practices 39.7 16.9 Training Spending per Employee Employee Training Cost / Employees 966 4.9 726 15.7 Hours Spent by Firm Empl Training 8.0 8.5 Labor Actions 41 39 Strikes and Lockouts 0.6 15.9 0.4 Duration of Strikes and Lockouts Days 15.7 14.4 7.8 Organized Labor 62.3 27.0 60.5 Employees Unionized Operational Risk Management 81.2 81.2 Operational Incidents Process Safety Event Rate LOPC / 200'000h 100 Employees 0.8 0.02 Tier 2 Process Safety Event Rate LOPC / 200'000h 100 Employ #DIV/0! 0.03 Majority Yes Majority No Emergency Response & Preparedness Policy **Community Rights & Relations** 45.1 27.4 Community & Human Rights Indigenous Rights Policy Policy Majority Yes 27.9 Majority No 190 Human Rights Policy Majority Yes 68.1 Majority Yes Community Relations 38.2 Community Engagement Policy 82.0 Majority Yes Majority No 199 Policy 0.42% 1.42% Community Spending Non Technical Delays 8.34 0.4 Non Technical Delays Duration in Day 6.67 Majority No Critical Materials Policy Policy Majority No 25.3 180 **Ethics & Compliance** 56.3 34.2 Business Ethics Anti-Bribery Ethics Policy Policy 100.0 Majority Yes 76.0 Majority Yes Exposure to Controversial Weapons Majority No 39.5 Majority Yes Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI) Supporter Majority Yes Majority No International Council Metals & Mining Membership Majority No 15.5 Majority No Competitive Behavior 12.5 30 Fines for Anti Competition Amount 0.13 0.0% 0.1% Fines for Anti Competition 13.0 787 Legal & Regulatory Management Political Involvement Policy Policy 38.8 Majority No 18.4 Majority No 202 UNGC 83.8 57.4 UNGC UNGC Violations (Latest) Policy Majority No 62.3 Majority No UNGC Compliance Score 84.8 Principle 1: Support and Respect Human Rights Majority Yes 68.1 Majority Yes Principle 2: Not Complicit in Human Rights Abuses Majority Yes 28.3 Majority No Principle 3: Right to Collective Bargaining 40.8 Majority Unknown 111 210 Principle 4: Elimination of Forced Labor Majority No Majority No Principle 5: Abolition of Child Labor Policy 63.9 Majority Yes Majority Yes Majority Yes Principle 6: Elimination of Discrimination in Employ Majority Yes Principle 7: Recautionary Approach to Environment Majority Yes Majority Yes Principle 8: Promote Environmental Responsibility Majority Yes 82.4 Majority Yes Principle 9: Environmentally Friendly Technology Majority Yes 61.0 Majority Yes Principle 10: Anti-Corruption Majority Yes 68.5 Majority Yes Social Rating - Bloomberg The higher, the bette 40.3 20.7 Social Rating - Refinitiv 75.4 40.9 The higher, the better

VERNAN	ICE	(0 to 100)	57.3				42.0			
Composition			66.4			23	47.3			
Director F	Roles									
	Non-Exec Directors on 3+ Boards	96	87.1	12.9		25	75.6	11.0		
	Executive Directors on 2+ Boards	%	71.3	6.1		19	70.5	7.1		
	Highest Number of BOD any Director Serves Ex-CE(Amount	73.6	2.6		25	63.3	2.6		
	Public Company BOD serve as BOD ex-CEO	Average Number	89.4	1.1		25	77.6	0.9		
	Number of Board Positions CEO holds	Amount	93.2	0.3		24	80.1	0.3		
	Number of Chair Positions Chairman holds	Average Number	97.6	0.2		25	80.2	0.4		
Diversity										
	Board age	Avg Age / Bloomberg Score	42.9	60.9	6.0	18	29.0	59.2	6.7	
M13	Board Members that are Women	%	54.5	28.4		24	34.2	20.3		
	Female Chairperson	Y/N	20.4	Majority No		24	13.8	Majority No		
Independ	dence									
	Independent Directors	%	73.9	73.9		24	53.5	56.0		
	Non-Executive Directors on Board	%	84.6	88.1		24	65.2	77.0		
	Leadership Independence	Bloomberg Score	68.4	8.6		20	27.2	5.8		
	CEO Duality	Y/N	88.8	Majority No		24	67.5	Majority No		
Refreshm	nent									



ESG Quarterly - 2022 - 4Q

MSCI
Esg RATINGS

Industrial Metals Champions Fund

MSCI Metals & Mining Index

Section Sect	•		CCC B BB BBB A AA AAA	(inc	I. Base Metals, Bulk Materials	excl. Coal, Steel	, Alu)	(incl. Base- 8	& Precious Metals, Bulk Materi	als incl. Coal	, Steel, Alu)
Source Proceedings Source Sourc								ICG Score			Nr Compa
Bood Office Authors of the Company 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		Chairman Tenure	Years / Bloomberg Score	50.5	4.4	6.3	20	31.7	5.9	6.8	
Broot Newtoness roughts/											
Section Processing Proces				57.0				33.4			
Personance		Board Members Serving 2001	reals		1.1		23		1.0		
Compression Final Processing Commission	cutive Compens	sation		57.5			22	31.2			
Inclanation Distriction Distriction Distriction Chargeson for Control Chargeson for Ch	Pay Gover										
Magagy ross											
Persigne State Interest 1.5 1.											
Bookstok Shake Owner-Opcologistics 50 228 Majority No. 248 Majority No. 248 Majority No. 249 M											
Septiment Sept		Executive Share Ownership Guidelines	Y/N	52.8	Majority No		24				
Proposery of any Privates		Say on Pay Support Level	%	68.2	89.7		19	38.8	92.7		
Properties Standards											
CED Facusher	In a series of		Amount	42.0	1.0		21	20.0	1.0	_	
Comparession part of Formulation Comparession	incentive		V/N	9.6	Majority No		2/	10.4	Majority No.		
Become Compression Linked to EDITOR Code 71.0 Majorty No 20 20.0 Majorty No						1.19%				3.3%	
Central Conference Actor Finding Conference Conference Finding Conference Conference Finding											
Page			Policy					20.5			
Coulting Quelity Side Apparentment Side Sid		Board Compensation Linked to ESG	Policy	9.6	Majority No		24	9.9	Majority No		
Decelor Section Sect	Expectation										
Personic Description		Guidance Quality	St. Dev. Capex Guidance to Capex	59.4	0.41		25	24.3	0.76		
Personic Description	reity			444			15	27.8			
Fernise Concludes							13	23.0			
Women in Management N 302 283 18 92 187	Biveisity	Female Executives	%	41.1	21.4		24	20.2	12.1		
Women Hightin to Employee Rates Property 1											
Read Cannier Develop Security		Women Mgtm to Employees Ratio									
Contender Pay Cape Breakeout	M13		%								
M12 Mean Connel Ref (Page S. Otherwood 18 NO 1 13 3.2											
Mode Rights							25				
Director Visting		· .		1.8			- 1				
Director Voting Director Support Bloom-fema fearers 417 7.0 15 172 61	IVIIZ	Median Gender Pay Gap	A Difference	-	OTIKIOWIT			0.0	-3.3		
Director Support Shameholder Policies	eholder Rights			28.2			14	23.8			
Shareholder Policies	Director V	/oting									
Director Election Vote Policy 1 - Manging 2 - Privately 560 107 15 52.4 112		****	Bloomberg Score	41.7	7.0		15	17.2	6.1		
Priory Access Policy VN 9.6 Majority Unknown 6 1.3 Majority Unknown Polison PIII Plan VN 1.5 Majority Unknown 9 4.8 Majority Vn 1.2 Majority Vn 24 27.3 Majority Vn 24 27.3 Majority Vn 25 Majority Vn 26 Majority Vn 27.1 Majority Vn 28.2 M	Sharehold										
Poision Fill Plan											
Supermagning Bylew Provision VN											
Dual Class threewall Voting Rights											
Controlled Company VN 9.6 Majority No 24 273 Majority No											
Audit Committee Attendance			Y/N	9.6							
Audit Committee Attendance S											
Audit Committee Meetings				69.9			19	57.1			
Audit Committee Meetings	Audit Con		<u> </u>	9/.7	96.7		22	64.4	95.9		
Audit Financial Expert											
Independent Audit Committee Chairperson											
Independent Directors on Audit Committee % 89.7 97.5 23 65.4 88.0		•									
Auditor Ratification		Independent Directors on Audit Committee	%	89.7			23				
Auditor Ratification		Size of Audit Committee	Size	52.8	3.7		24	42.8	3.4		
Auditor Ratification Support Level	External A	Auditor									
Auditor Expenses Vears Auditor Employed Vears Ve											
Years Auditor Employed Years 62.9 12.7 18 59.9 14.3		***									
Audit Outcome						0.26%				2.52%	
Net Restatement Impact	Audit Out		1603	62.9	12.7		18	59.9	14.5		
Auditor Opinion Biomberg Score 80.0 10.0 20 45.8 9.8 Pendence 54.7 25 51.0 Insider ownership 9 5 55.2 14.2 25 48.4 9.6 Government ownership 6 5.3 53.5 53 25 57.3 2.6 Transce Rating - Bloomberg The higher, the better 56.1 25 29.8 Transce Rating - Refinitiv The higher, the better 75.8 25 46.1 RONMENTAL the higher, the better (0.15 100) 60.9 40.6 ALL the higher, the better (0.15 100) 57.3 42.0 RENANCE the higher, the better 28.9 23 35.7 Rating - Sustainalytics The higher, the better 45.6 16 23.4	, adit out		Bloomberg Score	12.0	10.0		3	5.9	9.4		
September Sept		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Insider ownership											
Covernment ownership	oendence			54.7			25	51.0			
The higher, the better 56.1 25 29.8 Transce Rating - Refinitiv The higher, the better 75.8 25 46.1 RONMENTAL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 60.9 40.6 AL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 50.0 16.7 RENANCE the higher, the better (0 to 100) 57.3 42.0 Rating - Sustainallytics The higher, the better 28.9 23 35.7 Rating - MSCI The higher, the better 45.6 16 23.4											
The higher, the better 75.8 25 46.1 RONMENTAL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 60.9 40.6 ALL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 57.3 42.0 RENANCE the legen, the better (0 to 100) 57.3 42.0 Rating - Sustainalytics The higher, the better 28.9 23 35.7 Rating - MSCI The higher, the better 45.6 16 23.4		Government ownership	%	53.5	5.3		25	57.3	2.6		
The higher, the better 75.8 25 46.1 RONMENTAL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 60.9 40.6 ALL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 57.3 42.0 RENANCE the legen, the better (0 to 100) 57.3 42.0 Rating - Sustainalytics The higher, the better 28.9 23 35.7 Rating - MSCI The higher, the better 45.6 16 23.4	rnance Dating	- Bloomherg	The higher the better	561			25	29.8			
## AL	mance Rading	Biodifiberg	me nighti, are better	30.1			20	25.0			
AL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 50.0 16.7 ERNANCE the higher, the better (0 to 100) 57.3 42.0 Rating - Sustainalytics The higher, the better 28.9 23 35.7 Rating - MSCI The higher, the better 45.6 16 23.4	rnance Rating	- Refinitiv	The higher, the better	75.8			25	46.1			
AL the higher, the better (0 to 100) 50.0 16.7 ERNANCE the higher, the better (0 to 100) 57.3 42.0 Rating - Sustainalytics The higher, the better 28.9 23 35.7 Rating - MSCI The higher, the better 45.6 16 23.4											
AL the higher, the better (0 to 100) ERNANCE the higher, the better (0 to 100) Rating - Sustainalytics The higher, the better 28.9 23 35.7 Rating - MSCI The higher, the better 45.6 16 23.4 C. Pating by ICC the higher, the better 53.6	RONMENTAL			60.9				40.6			
Comparing by ICC The higher, the better 10 to 100 10 to 10											
Rating - Sustainalytics The higher, the better 28.9 23 35.7 Rating - MSCI The higher, the better 45.6 16 23.4 C. Pating by ICC the higher, the better 53.6 37.0	AL		(0 to 100)	30.0				16.7			
Rating - MSCI The higher, the better 45.6 16 23.4 C. Pating by ICC the higher, the better 53.6 37.0	ERNANCE		the higher, the better (0 to 100)	57.3				42.0			
C. Pating by ICC the higher, the better 53.4 37.0	Rating - Sustair	nalytics	The higher, the better	28.9			23	35.7			
	Rating - MSCI		The higher, the better	45.6			16	23.4			
	G Rating	by ICG	the higher, the better (0 to 100)	53.4				37.0			